User Guide

This report contains a wide range of useful information about the liver transplant program at Methodist University Hospital (TNMH). The report has three main sections:

A. Program Summary
B. Waiting List Information
C. Transplant Information

The Program Summary is a one-page summary highlighting characteristics of the program, including the number of candidates on the waiting list, the number of transplants performed at the program, the number of patients being cared for by the program, and patient outcomes, including outcomes while on the waiting list (the transplant rate and the death rate while on the waiting list) and outcomes after transplant (patient and graft survival probabilities). If the program performed transplants in both adults and children, survival probabilities for adults and children (pediatrics) are provided separately. For each of the outcomes measures presented, a comparison is provided showing what would be expected at this program if it were performing as similar programs around the country perform when treating similar patients. More details regarding these outcome measures are provided in Sections B and C of the report.

The Waiting List Information section contains more detailed information on how many candidates are on the waiting list at the program, the types of candidates on the waiting list, how long candidates typically have to wait for a transplant at this program, how frequently candidates successfully receive a transplant, and how often candidates on the waiting list die before receiving a transplant.

Table B1 shows the activity on this program's waiting list during two recent 1-year periods and provides comparisons to all programs within this program's OPTN region (see http://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/members/regions.asp for information on OPTN regions) and the nation as a whole. Tables B2 and B3 describe the candidates on the waiting list at this program, with comparisons to candidates waiting in the same donor service area (OPO/DSA) the OPTN region, and the nation as a whole.

Table B4 shows how many candidates were removed from the waiting list because they received a transplant. The program's transplant rate is calculated as the number of candidates who received a transplant divided by the person-years observed at the program (person-years is a combination of how many candidates were on the waiting list along with how long each candidate was followed since some candidates are not on the waiting list for the entire year). The transplant rate and comparisons to what would be expected at this program are presented in Figures B1 and B2. Figure B1 shows the transplant rate compared to what was expected at this program. The expected transplant rate is an estimate of what we would expect at this program if it were performing transplants at rates similar to other programs in the US with similar candidates on their waiting lists. The expected rate is only an estimate, and is made with a certain level of uncertainty. This uncertainty is shown in Figure B2. Figure B2 displays the ratio of the observed to the expected transplant rate. A ratio of 1 indicates that the observed transplant rate was equal to the expected transplant rate, while a ratio less than 1 indicates the observed rate was lower than expected rate and a ratio greater than 1 indicates the observed rate was higher than the expected rate. However, the level of uncertainty must be considered when interpreting these numbers. The 95% interval is also shown on Figure B2. This interval provides a range within which the true ratio of observed to expected transplant rates is likely to be. If this
confidence interval includes (crosses) 1.0, then we cannot say that this program’s observed transplant rate is different from what would be expected. The observed transplant rate at this program was 206.1 per 100 person-years. Transplant rates are also provided for adult and pediatric patients separately along with comparisons to adult and pediatric rates in the DSA, the OPTN region, and the nation. Transplant rates are also presented excluding transplants from a living donor (Table B4D and Figures B1D-B3D). Please refer to the PSR Technical Methods documentation available at http://www.srtr.org for more detail regarding how expected rates are calculated.

The death rate (also known as the mortality rate) for candidates on the waiting list is presented in Table B5 and Figures B4-B6. These data are presented in the same way as the transplant rate data in the previous section. The intent of these tables and figures is to describe risk of death once candidates are listed rather than while they are listed. Therefore, time at risk and deaths after removal from the waiting list for reasons other than transplant, transfer to another transplant program, or recovery (no longer needing a transplant), and before any subsequent transplant, are included. As with transplant rates, mortality rates should be interpreted carefully taking into consideration the interval displayed in Figure B5. For a complete description of how observed and expected mortality rates are calculated, please refer to the technical documentation available at http://www.srtr.org.

Table B6 presents information on what happens to candidates on the waiting list by three different time points after listing: 6 months, 12 months, and 18 months. The table displays percentages of candidates who have died, been removed from the waiting list, been transplanted, or been transferred or lost-to-follow-up. Tables B7 and B8 provide more detail regarding how many candidates have received a deceased donor transplant by certain time points during the first 3 years after being put on the transplant waiting list. Each row of Tables B7 and B8 presents the percent of candidates who received a deceased donor transplant by each time point. Table B9 presents data on the time it took for different percentages of patients to be transplanted for candidates added to the list between 07/01/2011 and 12/31/2016. The time it took for 5% (the 5th percentile) of patients to receive a transplant at this program was 0.1 months. If "Not Observed" is displayed in the table, then too few candidates received transplants before 06/30/2017 to calculate a particular percentile of transplant times.

The Transplant Information section begins with descriptions of transplant recipients in Tables C1 and C2. Data on recipients of deceased donor transplants are presented (Tables C1D and C2D); if applicable, data on recipients of living donor transplants are presented separately (Tables C1L and C2L). Comparisons to the region and the nation as a whole are provided. A description of the deceased donors used at this program is provided in Table C3D, along with characteristics of living donors in Table C3L, if applicable. Finally, information on the transplant procedure for deceased and living donor transplants is presented in Tables C4D and C4L, respectively.

Starting with Table C5, transplant outcomes are presented along with comparisons to what would be expected at this program and what happened in the nation as a whole. Tables C5-C10 present information on graft survival (survival of the transplanted organ), with data presented separately for adult and pediatric recipients. Patients are followed from the time of transplant until either failure of the transplanted organ or death, whichever comes first. Please refer to the technical methods for more information on these calculations (http://www.srtr.org).
While Tables C5-C10 present data on graft survival, Tables C11-C16 present information on patient survival. For these tables, patients are followed from the time of transplant until death, regardless of whether the transplant is functioning or the patient required another transplant to survive.

Tables C17 and C18 summarize the multiorgan transplant outcomes at this program. The summary statistics in these tables are descriptive and are not risk-adjusted for different donor and candidate characteristics.

Table D1 shows the rates of follow-up for living donors.

Additional information regarding the technical methods and the risk adjustment models used to estimate expected event rates is available on the SRTR website at http://www.srtr.org. We welcome and encourage feedback on these reports. Please feel free to share feedback with the SRTR at the following e-mail: srtr@srtr.org.
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The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
A. Program Summary

Table A1. Census of transplant recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipients</th>
<th>07/01/2015-06/30/2016</th>
<th>07/01/2016-06/30/2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transplanted at this center</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followed by this center*</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...transplanted at this program</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...transplanted elsewhere</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recipients followed are transplant recipients for whom the center has submitted a post-transplant follow-up form for a transplant that took place before the 12-month interval for each column.

Figure A1. Waiting list and transplant activity

Figure A2. Transplant rates
07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

Figure A3. Waiting list mortality rates
07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

Figure A4. First-year adult graft and patient survival: 07/01/2014 - 12/31/2016

Figure A5. First-year pediatric graft and patient survival: 07/01/2014 - 12/31/2016

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
### B. Waiting List Information

#### Table B1. Waiting list activity summary: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting List Registrations</th>
<th>07/01/2015-06/30/2016</th>
<th>07/01/2016-06/30/2017</th>
<th>Activity for 07/01/2016 to 06/30/2017 as percent of registrants on waiting list on 07/01/2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On waiting list at start</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New listings at this center</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>177.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On waiting list at end of period</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These patients were removed from waiting list with removal code indicating transplant; this may not equal the number of transplants performed at this center during the specified period.
### B. Waiting List Information

#### Table B2. Demographic characteristics of waiting list candidates

Candidates registered on the waiting list between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>New Waiting List Registrations (07/01/2016 to 06/30/2017) (%)</th>
<th>All Waiting List Registrations (06/30/2017) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This Center (N=126)</td>
<td>OPTN Region (N=1,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (%)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity/Race (%)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-11 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 years</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (includes prenatal)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
## B. Waiting List Information

### Table B3. Medical characteristics of waiting list candidates

Candidates registered on the waiting list between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Characteristic</th>
<th>New Waiting List Registrations 07/01/2016 to 06/30/2017 (%)</th>
<th>All Waiting List Registrations on 06/30/2017 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This Center (N=126)</td>
<td>OPTN Region (N=1,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All (%)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Type (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Disease (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hepatic Necrosis</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cholestatic Cirrhosis</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic Liver Disease/Cirrhosis</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary Atresia</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Diseases</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Urgency Status/MELD/PELD at Listing (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1A</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 2A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 2B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 6-10</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 11-14</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 15-20</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 21-30</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 31-40</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD less than or equal to 10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 11-14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 15-20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 21-30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 31 or greater</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporarily Inactive</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELD/PELD score based on laboratory measures is shown for listings beginning 2/27/2002 unless patient is Status 1 or Temporarily Inactive. MELD/PELD scores based on exception rules are not used. Status 1 separated into 1A and 1B in August 2005.
### Table B4. Transplant rates: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting List Registrations</th>
<th>This Center</th>
<th>OPO/DSA</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>15,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>1,745.8</td>
<td>29,986.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for Transplant</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>15,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult (18+) Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>15,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>1,698.7</td>
<td>28,948.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for transplant</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1,442</td>
<td>14,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pediatric (&lt;18) Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>1,038.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for transplant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Counts in this table may be lower than similar counts in other waiting list tables, such as Table B1. A small percentage (~1%) of patients are found to have died or been transplanted before being removed from the waiting list, so these patients are excluded if the event occurs prior to the start of the study period. Inactive time on the waiting list is included in the calculations for this table.

** Person years are calculated as days (converted to fractional years). The number of days from July 1 or from the date of first wait listing until death, transplant, removal from the waiting list or June 30.

---

**Figure B1. Observed and expected transplant rates:**
07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

**Figure B2. Transplant rate ratio estimate**

**Figure B3. Observed adult (18+) and pediatric (<18) transplant rates:**
07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017
### B. Waiting List Information

#### Table B4D. Deceased donor transplant rates: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting List Registrations</th>
<th>This Center</th>
<th>OPO/DSA</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>15,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>1,745.8</td>
<td>29,986.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for Transplant</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1,512</td>
<td>14,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult (18+) Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>15,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>1,698.7</td>
<td>28,948.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for transplant</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>1,428</td>
<td>13,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pediatric (&lt;18) Candidates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>1,038.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removals for transplant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1,031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Counts in this table may be lower than similar counts in other waiting list tables, such as Table B1. A small percentage (~1%) of patients are found to have died or been transplanted before being removed from the waiting list, so these patients are excluded if the event occurs prior to the start of the study period. Inactive time on the waiting list is included in the calculations for this table.

** Person years are calculated as days (converted to fractional years). The number of days from July 1 or from the date of first wait listing until death, transplant, removal from the waiting list or June 30.

---

#### Figure B1D. Observed and expected deceased donor transplant rates: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

**Rate per 100 Person Years**

- **All**: 203.6 (Observed), 73.5 (Expected)
- **Adult**: 204.5 (Observed), 73.3 (Expected)
- **Pediatric**: 0.0 (Observed), 108.7 (Expected)

---

#### Figure B2D. Deceased donor transplant rate ratio estimate

**Estimated Transplant Rate Ratio**

- 3.08 (95% CI: 2.40, 2.73)

---

#### Figure B3D. Observed adult (18+) and pediatric (<18) deceased donor transplant rates: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

**Rate per 100 Person Years**

- **Adult (18+)**: 204.5 (This Center), 84.1 (OPO/DSA), 47.5 (Region), 50.0 (U.S.)
- **Pediatric (<18)**: 178.3 (This Center), 99.3 (OPO/DSA), 250.0 (Region), 150.0 (U.S.)
B. Waiting List Information

Table B5. Waiting list mortality rates: 07/01/2015 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting List Registrations</th>
<th>This Center</th>
<th>OPO/DSA</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>15,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>147.4</td>
<td>163.1</td>
<td>1,911.7</td>
<td>33,126.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of deaths</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>4,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (18+) Candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>15,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>1,863.5</td>
<td>32,028.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of deaths</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>4,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric (&lt;18) Candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count on waiting list at start*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person Years**</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>1,098.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of deaths</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Counts in this table may be lower than similar counts in other waiting list tables, such as Table B1. A small percentage (~1%) of patients are found to have died or been transplanted before being removed from the waiting list, so these patients are excluded if the event occurs prior to the start of the study period. Inactive time on the waiting list is included in the calculations for this table.

** Person years are calculated as days (converted to fractional years). The number of days from July 1 or from the date of first wait listing until death, transplant, 60 days after recovery, transfer or June 30.
### B. Waiting List Information

**Table B6. Waiting list candidate status after listing**
Candidates registered on waiting list between 01/01/2015 and 12/31/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting list status (survival status)</th>
<th>This Center (N=163) Months Since Listing</th>
<th>U.S. (N=12,004) Months Since Listing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alive on waiting list (%)</strong></td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Died on the waiting list without transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removed without transplant (%):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition worsened (status unknown)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition improved (status unknown)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused transplant (status unknown)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transplant (living donor from waiting list only) (%):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning (alive)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-Retransplanted (alive)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-alive not retransplanted</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Yet Unknown**</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transplant (deceased donor) (%):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning (alive)</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-Retransplanted (alive)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-alive not retransplanted</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Yet Unknown*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lost or Transferred (status unknown) (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL (%)</strong></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Follow-up form covering specified time period not yet completed, and possibly has not become due.
### B. Waiting List Information

#### Table B6S1. Medical urgency status 1 candidate status after listing

Candidates registered on the waiting list between 01/01/2015 and 12/31/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting list status (survival status)</th>
<th>This Center (N=3)</th>
<th>U.S. (N=428)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Months Since listing</td>
<td>Months Since listing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive on waiting list (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died on the waiting list without transplant (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed without transplant (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition worsened (status unknown)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition improved (status unknown)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused transplant (status unknown)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant (living donor from waiting list only) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning (alive)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-Retransplanted (alive)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-alive not retransplanted</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Yet Unknown**</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant (deceased donor) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning (alive)</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-Retransplanted (alive)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed-alive not retransplanted</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Yet Unknown*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost or Transferred (status unknown) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL (%)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Follow-up form covering specified time period not yet completed, and possibly has not become due.
### B. Waiting List Information

**Table B7. Percent of candidates with deceased donor transplants: demographic characteristics**

Candidates registered on the waiting list between 07/01/2011 and 06/30/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percent transplanted at time periods since listing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity/Race*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-11 years</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17 years</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 years</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (includes prenatal)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
### B. Waiting List Information

#### Table B8. Percent of candidates with deceased donor transplants: medical characteristics

Candidates registered on the waiting list between 07/01/2011 and 06/30/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percent transplanted at time periods since listing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This Center United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 30 day 1 year 2 years 3 years N 30 day 1 year 2 years 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>441 36.1 73.9 78.0 78.7 34,850 16.3 42.2 49.4 51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>226 32.3 69.9 74.8 75.2 16,215 15.8 39.7 47.2 49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>154 37.0 76.6 79.2 80.5 13,066 14.9 40.8 48.3 50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>49 46.9 83.7 89.8 89.8 4,231 19.2 49.5 55.7 57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>12 50.0 75.0 75.0 75.0 1,338 26.8 62.3 67.1 68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Transplant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34 50.0 73.5 76.5 76.5 2,038 27.9 49.1 52.6 53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>407 34.9 74.0 78.1 78.9 32,812 15.5 41.7 49.2 51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hepatic Necrosis</td>
<td>13 61.5 76.9 76.9 76.9 1,472 45.2 54.2 56.0 56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cholestatic Cirrhosis</td>
<td>308 38.0 73.1 76.6 77.6 23,431 15.2 39.1 46.0 48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic Liver Disease/Cirrhosis</td>
<td>27 14.8 51.9 74.1 74.1 2,370 14.1 41.4 48.4 51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary Atresia</td>
<td>1 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 695 18.4 65.3 71.5 73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Diseases</td>
<td>5 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 826 22.3 62.7 68.3 70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms</td>
<td>61 26.2 83.6 83.6 83.6 4,003 9.4 46.2 58.4 59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>26 34.6 76.9 80.8 80.8 2,045 20.5 45.9 52.0 54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 8 12.5 25.0 25.0 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Urgency Status/MELD/PELD at Listing*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 0 -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1A</td>
<td>23 69.6 69.6 69.6 69.6 1,270 60.2 60.6 60.6 60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1B</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- 119 58.8 81.5 81.5 81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 2A</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- 0 -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 2B</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- 0 -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 3</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 0 -- -- -- --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 6-10</td>
<td>64 25.0 81.2 82.8 82.8 6,718 3.3 33.4 46.1 48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 11-14</td>
<td>73 15.1 65.8 74.0 76.7 7,007 3.3 26.7 36.9 40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 15-20</td>
<td>136 29.4 71.3 77.2 77.9 8,231 7.0 37.8 45.0 47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 21-30</td>
<td>99 42.4 78.8 81.8 81.8 5,679 26.3 56.3 59.0 59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 31-40</td>
<td>45 75.6 75.6 75.6 75.6 3,243 61.5 68.0 68.2 68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD less than or equal to 10</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 673 11.9 65.8 73.4 75.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 11-14</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 120 17.5 70.8 75.8 76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 15-20</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 192 18.2 75.0 78.1 80.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 21-30</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 135 33.3 71.1 72.6 72.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 31 or greater</td>
<td>0 -- -- -- -- -- -- 58 56.9 72.4 74.1 74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporarily Inactive</td>
<td>1 0.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1,405 7.1 27.7 37.4 39.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELD/PELD score based on laboratory measures is shown for listings beginning 2/27/2002 unless patient is Status 1 or Temporarily Inactive. MELD/PELD scores based on exception rules are not used. Status 1 separated into 1A and 1B in August 2005.

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
**B. Waiting List Information**

Table B9. Time to transplant for waiting list candidates*
Candidates registered on the waiting list between 07/01/2011 and 12/31/2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Months to Transplant**</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th (median time to transplant)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Not Observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If cells contain "Not Observed" fewer than that percentile of patients had received a transplant. For example, the 50th percentile of time to transplant is the time when 50% of candidates have received transplants. If waiting times are long, then the 50th percentile may not be observed during the follow-up period for this table. Also, if more than 50% of candidates are removed from the list due to death or other reasons before receiving transplants, then the 50th percentile of time to transplant will not be observed.

** Censored on 06/30/2017. Calculated as the months after listing, during which the corresponding percent of all patients initially listed had received a transplant.
## B. Waiting List Information

### Table B10. Offer Acceptance Practices: 07/01/2016 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offers Acceptance Characteristics</th>
<th>This Center</th>
<th>OPO/DSA</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>174,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>6,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>650.4</td>
<td>6,758.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[1.94, 2.83]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHS increased infectious risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2,715</td>
<td>47,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>166.9</td>
<td>1,813.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[1.83, 3.36]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DCD donor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>26,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>438.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[2.48, 5.48]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCV+ donor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>7,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>308.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[0.58, 2.44]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hard-to-Place Livers (Over 50 Offers)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>4,404</td>
<td>106,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>591.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[2.25, 5.74]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donor more than 500 miles away</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Offers</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>2,256</td>
<td>58,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Acceptances</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Acceptances</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>639.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer Acceptance Ratio*</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Credible Interval**</td>
<td>[1.20, 2.70]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The offer acceptance ratio estimates the relative offer acceptance practice of Methodist University Hospital (TNMH) compared to the national offer acceptance practice. A ratio above one indicates the program is more likely to accept an offer compared to national offer acceptance practices (e.g., an offer acceptance ratio of 1.25 indicates a 25% more likely to accept an offer), while a ratio below one indicates the program is less likely to accept an offer compared to national offer acceptance practices (e.g., an offer acceptance ratio of 0.75 indicates a 25% less likely to accept an offer).

** As an example, the 95% Credible Interval for the overall offer acceptance ratio, [1.94, 2.83], indicates the location of TNMH's true offer acceptance ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 136% more likely to accept an offer compared to national acceptance behavior, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 94% higher acceptance up to 183% higher acceptance.

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
B. Waiting List Information

**Figure B7. Offer acceptance: Overall**

**Figure B8. Offer acceptance: PHS increased infectious risk**

**Figure B9. Offer acceptance: DCD Donor**

**Figure B10. Offer acceptance: HCV+ Donor**

**Figure B11. Offer acceptance: Offer number > 50**

**Figure B12. Offer acceptance: Donor more than 500 miles away**

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C1D. Deceased donor transplant recipient demographic characteristics
Patients transplanted between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity/Race (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-11 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
### C. Transplant Information

#### Table C1L. Living donor transplant recipient demographic characteristics

Patients transplanted between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity/Race (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-11 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
### C. Transplant Information

#### Table C2D. Deceased donor transplant recipient medical characteristics

Patients transplanted between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Type (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body Mass Index (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31+</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Disease (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hepatic Necrosis</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cholestatic Cirrhosis</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic Liver Disease/Cirrhosis</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary Atresia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Diseases</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Urgency Statut/MELD/PELD at Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1A</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 6-10</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 11-14</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 15-20</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 21-30</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 31-40</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD less than or equal to 10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 11-14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 15-20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 21-30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 31 or greater</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporarily Inactive</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient Medical Condition at Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hospitalized</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELD/PELD score based on laboratory measures at the time of transplant is shown unless recipient is Status 1 or Temporarily Inactive. MELD/PELD scores based on exception rules are not used. Status 1 separated into 1A and 1B in August 2005.

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
### C. Transplant Information

Table C2L. Living donor transplant recipient medical characteristics
Patients transplanted between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Type (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body Mass Index (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31+</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Disease (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Hepatic Necrosis</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cholestatic Cirrhosis</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic Liver Disease/Cirrhosis</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary Atresia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Diseases</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Neoplasms</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Urgency Statut/MELD/PELD at Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status 1B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 6-10</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 11-14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 15-20</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 21-30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD 31-40</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD less than or equal to 10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 11-14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 15-20</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 21-30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELD 31 or greater</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporarily Inactive</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient Medical Condition at Transplant (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hospitalized</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELD/PELD score based on laboratory measures at the time of transplant is shown unless recipient is Status 1 or Temporarily Inactive. MELD/PELD scores based on exception rules are not used. Status 1 separated into 1A and 1B in August 2005.

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C3D. Deceased donor characteristics
Transplants performed between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of Death (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: Stroke</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: MVA</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: Other</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity/Race (%)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-11 years</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Type (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
C. Transplant Information

Table C3L. Living donor characteristics
Transplants performed between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity/Race (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-11 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-49 years</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64 years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ years</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Type (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Race and ethnicity are reported together as a single data element, reflecting their data collection (either race or ethnicity is required, but not both). Patients formerly coded as white and Hispanic are coded as Hispanic. Race and ethnicity sum to 100%.
C. Transplant Information

Table C4D. Deceased donor transplant characteristics
Transplants performed between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplant Characteristic</th>
<th>Center (N=115)</th>
<th>Region (N=776)</th>
<th>U.S. (N=7,674)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold Ischemic Time (Hours): Local (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 0-5 hr</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 6-10 hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 11-15 hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 16-20 hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 21+ hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Ischemic Time (Hours): Shared (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 0-5 hr</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 6-10 hr</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 11-15 hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 16-20 hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased: 21+ hr</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Type (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver alone</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver and another organ</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Time in Hospital After Transplant*</td>
<td>9.0 Days</td>
<td>8.0 Days</td>
<td>9.0 Days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Multiple organ transplants are excluded from this statistic.
C. Transplant Information

Table C4L. Living donor transplant characteristics
Transplants performed between 07/01/2016 and 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplant Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage in each category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Center (N=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation with Donor (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Type (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver alone</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver and another organ</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median Time in Hospital After Transplant*  15.0 Days  15.0 Days  12.0 Days

* Multiple organ transplants are excluded from this statistic.
C. Transplant Information

Table C5. Adult (18+) 1-month survival with a functioning graft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.12, 2.56], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 77% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 12% increased risk up to 156% increased risk.
C. Transplant Information

Table C5D. Adult (18+) 1-month survival with a functioning deceased donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>14,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>92.83%</td>
<td>96.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>95.98%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.06, 2.48]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.06, 2.48], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 70% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 6% increased risk up to 148% increased risk.

Figure C1D. Adult (18+) 1-month deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

Figure C2D. Adult (18+) 1-month deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C5L. Adult (18+) 1-month survival with a functioning living donor graft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>95.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>95.06%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.30, 3.52]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.30, 3.52], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 46% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 70% reduced risk up to 252% increased risk.

Figure C1L. Adult (18+) 1-month living donor graft failure HR estimate

Figure C2L. Adult (18+) 1-month living donor graft failure HR program comparison
C. Transplant Information

Table C6. Adult (18+) 1-year survival with a functioning graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>15,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>84.62%</td>
<td>90.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>89.89%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.15, 2.08]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.15, 2.08], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 58% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 15% increased risk up to 108% increased risk.

Figure C3. Adult (18+) 1-year graft failure HR estimate

Figure C4. Adult (18+) 1-year graft failure HR program comparison

---

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C6D. Adult (18+) 1-year survival with a functioning deceased donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>14,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>84.88%</td>
<td>90.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>89.90%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.12, 2.04]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.12, 2.04], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 55% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 12% increased risk up to 104% increased risk.

Figure C3D. Adult (18+) 1-year deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

Figure C4D. Adult (18+) 1-year deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C6L. Adult (18+) 1-year survival with a functioning living donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>88.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>88.82%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.30, 3.45]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.30, 3.45], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 43% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 70% reduced risk up to 245% increased risk.

---

Figure C3L. Adult (18+) 1-year living donor graft failure HR estimate

Figure C4L. Adult (18+) 1-year living donor graft failure HR program comparison
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The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C7. Adult (18+) 3-year survival with a functioning graft

Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>13,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 3 years (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>76.78%</td>
<td>81.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 3 years (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>81.67%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.00, 1.64]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.00, 1.64], indicates the location of TNMH’s true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 30% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH’s performance could plausibly range from 0% increased risk up to 64% increased risk.

Figure C5. Adult (18+) 3-year graft failure HR estimate

Figure C6. Adult (18+) 3-year graft failure HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C7D. Adult (18+) 3-year survival with a functioning deceased donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>12,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 3 years (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>76.78%</td>
<td>81.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving with a functioning graft at 3 years (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>81.67%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed graft failures (including deaths) during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected graft failures (including deaths) during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.00, 1.64]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected graft failure rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's graft failure rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.00, 1.64], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 30% higher risk of graft failure compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 0% increased risk up to 64% increased risk.

Figure C5D. Adult (18+) 3-year deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

Figure C6D. Adult (18+) 3-year deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
### Table C7L. Adult (18+) 3-year survival with a functioning living donor graft

Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014

Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Data Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/01/2012 - 06/30/2014</td>
<td>This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during this period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Transplant Information

Table C8. Pediatric (<18) 1-month survival with a functioning graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C7. Pediatric (<18) 1-month graft failure HR estimate
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C8. Pediatric (<18) 1-month graft failure HR program comparison
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C8D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month survival with a functioning deceased donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C7D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C8D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C8L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month survival with a functioning living donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during
07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C7L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month living donor graft failure
HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during
07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C8L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month living donor graft failure
HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during
07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C9. Pediatric (<18) 1-year survival with a functioning graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C9. Pediatric (<18) 1-year graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C10. Pediatric (<18) 1-year graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C9D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year survival with a functioning deceased donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C9D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C10D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C9L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year survival with a functioning living donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C9L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year living donor graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C10L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year living donor graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
### C. Transplant Information

#### Table C10. Pediatric (<18) 3-year survival with a functioning graft

Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014

Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

#### Figure C11. Pediatric (<18) 3-year graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

#### Figure C12. Pediatric (<18) 3-year graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014
# C. Transplant Information

## Table C10D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year survival with a functioning deceased donor graft

Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014

Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

## Figure C11D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year deceased donor graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

## Figure C12D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year deceased donor graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014
C. Transplant Information

Table C10L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year survival with a functioning living donor graft
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Deaths and retransplants are considered graft failures

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C11L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year living donor graft failure HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C12L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year living donor graft failure HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014
C. Transplant Information

Table C11. Adult (18+) 1-month patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>14,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>94.07%</td>
<td>97.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>97.46%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.21, 3.10]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.21, 3.10], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 105% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 21% increased risk up to 210% increased risk.

Figure C13. Adult (18+) 1-month patient death HR estimate

Figure C14. Adult (18+) 1-month patient death HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C11D. Adult (18+) 1-month patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>13,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>94.40%</td>
<td>97.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>97.46%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.13, 2.97]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.13, 2.97], indicates the location of TNMH’s true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 94% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 13% increased risk up to 197% increased risk.

---

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C11L. Adult (18+) 1-month patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 month (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>97.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 month (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>97.95%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first month after transplant</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.31, 3.57]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.31, 3.57], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 48% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 69% reduced risk up to 257% increased risk.

Figure C13L. Adult (18+) 1-month patient death HR estimate (living donor grafts)

Figure C14L. Adult (18+) 1-month patient death HR program comparison (living donor grafts)
C. Transplant Information

Table C12. Adult (18+) 1-year patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>14,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>86.95%</td>
<td>92.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>92.26%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.19, 2.30]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.19, 2.30], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 70% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 19% increased risk up to 130% increased risk.

Figure C15. Adult (18+) 1-year patient death HR estimate

Figure C16. Adult (18+) 1-year patient death HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C12D. Adult (18+) 1-year patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>13,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>87.24%</td>
<td>92.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>92.26%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>19.15</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[1.15, 2.25]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [1.15, 2.25], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 65% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 15% increased risk up to 125% increased risk.

Figure C15D. Adult (18+) 1-year patient death HR estimate (deceased donor grafts)

Figure C16D. Adult (18+) 1-year patient death HR program comparison (deceased donor grafts)
Table C12L. Adult (18+) 1-year patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 1 year (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>93.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 1 year (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>93.08%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first year after transplant</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.30, 3.52]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.30, 3.52], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 46% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 70% reduced risk up to 252% increased risk.
C. Transplant Information

Table C13. Adult (18+) 3-year patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>12,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 3 years (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>81.12%</td>
<td>84.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 3 years (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>84.81%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.94, 1.65]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.94, 1.65], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 27% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 6% reduced risk up to 65% increased risk.

Figure C17. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR estimate

Figure C18. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR program comparison

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C13D. Adult (18+) 3-year patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNMH</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of transplants evaluated</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>12,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated probability of surviving at 3 years (unadjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>81.12%</td>
<td>83.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected probability of surviving at 3 years (adjusted for patient and donor characteristics)</td>
<td>84.81%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed deaths during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected deaths during the first 3 years after transplant</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated hazard ratio*</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% credible interval for the hazard ratio**</td>
<td>[0.94, 1.65]</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The hazard ratio provides an estimate of how Methodist University Hospital (TNMH)'s results compare with what was expected based on modeling the transplant outcomes from all U.S. programs. A ratio above 1 indicates higher than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 1.5 would indicate 50% higher risk), and a ratio below 1 indicates lower than expected patient death rates (e.g., a hazard ratio of 0.75 would indicate 25% lower risk). If TNMH's patient death rate were precisely the expected rate, the estimated hazard ratio would be 1.0.

** The 95% credible interval, [0.94, 1.65], indicates the location of TNMH's true hazard ratio with 95% probability. The best estimate is 27% higher risk of patient death compared to an average program, but TNMH's performance could plausibly range from 6% reduced risk up to 65% increased risk.

Figure C17D. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR estimate (deceased donor grafts)

Figure C18D. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR program comparison (deceased donor grafts)
C. Transplant Information

Table C13L. Adult (18+) 3-year patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C17L. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR estimate (living donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C18L. Adult (18+) 3-year patient death HR program comparison (living donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014
C. Transplant Information

Table C14. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C19. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C20. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C14D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C19D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR estimate (deceased donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C20D. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR program comparison (deceased donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C14L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C19L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR estimate (living donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C20L. Pediatric (<18) 1-month patient death HR program comparison (living donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C15. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C21. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR estimate

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C22. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR program comparison

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C15D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C21D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR estimate (deceased donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C22D. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR program comparison (deceased donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016
C. Transplant Information

Table C15L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 07/01/2014 and 12/31/2016
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C21L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR estimate (living donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

Figure C22L. Pediatric (<18) 1-year patient death HR program comparison (living donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 07/01/2014-12/31/2016

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C16. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient survival
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure C23. Pediatric (&lt;18) 3-year patient death HR estimate</th>
<th>Figure C24. Pediatric (&lt;18) 3-year patient death HR program comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014</td>
<td>This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Transplant Information

Table C16D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient survival (deceased donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C23D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient death HR estimate (deceased donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C24D. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient death HR program comparison (deceased donor grafts)

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

The data reported here were prepared by the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) under contract with the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).
C. Transplant Information

Table C16L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient survival (living donor graft recipients)
Single organ transplants performed between 01/01/2012 and 06/30/2014
Retransplants excluded

This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this table during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C23L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient death HR estimate (living donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014

Figure C24L. Pediatric (<18) 3-year patient death HR program comparison (living donor grafts)
This center did not perform any transplants relevant to this figure during 01/01/2012-06/30/2014
C. Transplant Information

Table C17. Multi-organ transplant graft survival: 07/01/2014 - 12/31/2016

Adult (18+) Transplants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplant Type</th>
<th>Transplants Performed</th>
<th>Liver Graft Failures</th>
<th>Estimated Liver Graft Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney-Liver</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pediatric (<18) Transplants

No pediatric (<18) multi-organ transplants were performed

Table C18. Multi-organ transplant patient survival: 07/01/2014 - 12/31/2016

Adult (18+) Transplants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transplant Type</th>
<th>Transplants Performed</th>
<th>Patient Deaths</th>
<th>Estimated Patient Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
<td>TNMH-TX1 USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney-Liver</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pediatric (<18) Transplants

No pediatric (<18) multi-organ transplants were performed
### D. Living Donor Information

#### Table D1. Living donor summary: 07/01/2014 - 06/30/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Living Donors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Month Follow-Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donors due for follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely clinical data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely lab data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-Month Follow-Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donors due for follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>311</td>
<td>336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely clinical data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely lab data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Month Follow-Up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donors due for follow-up</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely clinical data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely lab data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--%</td>
<td>--%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow-up completion standards through 2 years post-donation were implemented in policy on February 1, 2013.